This article was downloaded by: On: *25 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: Free Access* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Wood Chemistry and Technology

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597282

Degradation of A Nonreducing Cellulose Model, L,5-Anhydro-4-O-β-D-Glucopyranosyl-D-Glucitol, Under Kraft Pulping Conditions David A. Blythe^{ab}; Leland R. Schroeder^a

^a The Institute of Paper Chemistry, Appleton, Wisconsin ^b Thilaany Pulp and Paper Company, Kaukauna, WI

To cite this Article Blythe, David A. and Schroeder, Leland R.(1985) 'Degradation of A Nonreducing Cellulose Model, L,5-Anhydro-4-O- β -D-Glucopyranosyl-D-Glucitol, Under Kraft Pulping Conditions', Journal of Wood Chemistry and Technology, 5: 3, 313 – 334

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/02773818508085196 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02773818508085196

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

DEGRADATION OF A NONREDUCING CELLULOSE MODEL, 1,5-ANHYDRO-4-0- β -D-GLUCOPYRANOSYL-D-GLUCITOL, UNDER KRAFT PULPING CONDITIONS¹,²

David A. Blythe³ and Leland R. Schroeder⁴ The Institute of Paper Chemistry Appleton, Wisconsin 54912

ABSTRACT

The title compound (1, 1, 5-anhydrocellobiitol) was degraded at 170°C in kraft pulping liquor (1.0M NaOH, 0.2M Na₂S) to determine whether the sulfur anions affect the rate-determining and/or product-determining steps of glycosidic bond cleavage. Since the extent of hydrolysis of S^{-2} to form HS⁻ and HO⁻ was unknown, 1 was also degraded in NaOH solutions simulating total hydrolysis (1.2M NaOH, 1.4 ionic strength $[\mu]$) and no hydrolysis (1.0M NaOH, 1.6 μ). The proportion of glycosyl-oxygen bond cleavage (88%) and oxygenaglycon bond cleavage (12%) was the same in all three cases. The rate constant for degradation of $\underline{1}$ under the kraft conditions was equal to that for the NaOH control degradation simulating total hydrolysis of S^{-2} , but greater than that for the control simulating no hydrolysis. This indicates that S^{-2} is hydrolyzed under these kraft conditions to HST and HOT, and that HST does not participate in the rate-determining steps of glycosidic bond cleavage. Since HS⁻ is a stronger nucleophile than HO⁻, these results also imply that HO- does not cleave the glycosidic linkage by $S_N 2$ mechanisms. The yields of 1,6-anhydro- β -D-glucopyranose (4) from glycosyl-oxygen bond cleavage and 1,5-anhydro-D-glucitol (2) from oxygen-aglycon bond cleavage were lower for degradations of 1 in the kraft liquor than in the NaOH controls. This is due to HS- involvement in the product-determining steps of the degradation of 1.

INTRODUCTION

The viscosity of the pulp decreases substantially during alkaline pulping of wood due to random cleavage of the cellulosic chains.⁵⁻⁸ The sulfide present in kraft pulping liquor is

Copyright © 1985 by Marcel Dekker, Inc.

0277-3813/85/0503-0313\$3.50/0

generally believed not to participate in the cleavage reaction.5-7 In contrast, the sulfide probably effects decreased carbohydrate degradation by accelerating the rate of lignin removal, thereby reducing the time which the carbohydrates are exposed to the alkaline pulping liquor.6,7,9 The base-catalyzed, intramolecular $S_NicB(2)$ mechanism¹⁰ generally assumed to be operative in cellulosic cleavage^{5-8,12-14} would account for nonparticipation of the kraft liquor sulfur nucleophiles (sulfide dianion and hydrosulfide anion) in the reaction. However, an intermolecular $S_N 2$ mechanism for cellulosic chain cleavage has been speculated upon, 13, 15 and some simple alky116 and ary1 glycosides 15, 17, 18 have been reported to degrade by bimolecular mechanisms. For the S_N2 mechanism, the sulfide and hydrosulfide ions, which are believed to be stronger nucleophiles than hydroxide ion,¹⁹ could increase the rate of glycosidic bond cleavage. In addition, SNl mechanisms appear to be viable for cellulosic chain cleavage.¹¹ For the unimolecular mechanisms, the kraft liquor sulfur nucleophiles would not affect the rate of chain cleavage, but could be involved in product-determining steps of the overall reaction.

In this paper we report the results of a study of the degradation of a nonreducing cellulose model, 1,5-anhydro-4-O- β -Dglucopyranosyl-D-glucitol (1) (1,5-anhydrocellobiitol) under kraft pulping conditions. Our objective was to determine whether the sulfur nucleophiles in the kraft liquor affected the ratedetermining or product-determining steps of glycosidic bond cleavage in <u>1</u>. Previously, we reported the results of an extensive study of the degradation of <u>1</u> in aqueous, oxygen-free sodium hydroxide.¹¹

HO LOH HO HO

1

314

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Kraft reaction solutions contained 1.0M sodium hydroxide and 0.2M sodium sulfide, similar to industrial pulping liquors.¹⁵ The sodium sulfide hydrolyzes according to Equations 1 and 2.

$$s^{-2} + H_2 0 = HS^- + HO^-$$
 (1)

$$HS^{-} + H_2 0 = H_2 S + H0^{-}$$
 (2)

The first dissociation constant of hydrogen sulfide (pK_al) is about 7.0 at 25°C and reportedly varies by only 0.5 up to 200°C.²⁰ Therefore, the equilibrium in Equation 2 lies fully to the left and virtually no hydrogen sulfide is present in kraft pulping liquors. The second dissociation constant of hydrogen sulfide (pK_a2) is not known with as much certainty. At 170°C, values between 11.0 and 12.5 have been proposed for $pK_a2.^{20}$ Thus, it is not as evident where the equilibrium in Equation 1 might lie in kraft pulping. It is possible that the relative importance of the sulfide dianion and the hydrosulfide anion could change as the pH and temperature of the pulping liquor change. However, data from kraft pulping of wood and cotton linters indicate that the sulfide ion is essentially hydrolyzed to hydrosulfide ion, with concomitant formation of hydroxide ion (Equation 1), under these conditions.²¹⁻²³

Since the rate of degradation of 1,5-anhydrocellobiitol (1) depends on the hydroxide ion concentration,¹¹ and since the degree of hydrolysis of the sulfide dianion which forms more hydroxide ion was uncertain, two control reactions in aqueous sodium hydroxide were required. One reaction was performed in 1.2Msodium hydroxide with an ionic strength of 1.4μ . This reaction corresponds to the sodium hydroxide concentration and ionic strength of the kraft liquor <u>if</u> the sulfide dianion is completely hydrolyzed. The second control reaction was performed in 1.0Msodium hydroxide with an ionic strength of 1.6μ . This reaction models the kraft liquor if the sulfide dianion is unhydrolyzed. The stable products identified from the degradation of <u>1</u> were 1,5-anhydro-D-glucitol (<u>2</u>) and 1,5:3,6-dianhydro-D-galactitol (<u>3</u>). In addition, $1,6-anhydro-\beta-D-glucopyranose$ (<u>4</u>) was identified as a reactive intermediate.



Since the sodium hydroxide concentration in all of the reactions was large relative to the concentration of <u>1</u>, the disappearance of <u>1</u> and the appearance of stable products <u>2</u> and <u>3</u> followed pseudo-parallel-first-order kinetics (Equations 3, 4, and 5; Figure 1).²⁴,²⁵

$$\ln (X_{r,r}) = -k_r t \tag{3}$$

$$\ln (X_{i,\infty} - X_{i,t}) = -k_r t + \ln (X_{i,\infty})$$
(4)

$$k_{i} = k_{r} X_{i,\infty}$$
 (5)

where $X_{r,t}$ is the mole fraction of reactant at time t, $X_{i,t}$ is the mole fraction of product <u>i</u> at time t, $X_{i,\infty}$ is $X_{i,t}$ at completion (the relative proportion of product <u>i</u> formed), k_r is the pseudo-first-order rate constant for reactant disappearance, and k_i is the pseudo-first-order rate constant for formation of product <u>i</u> ($\Sigma k_i = k_r$).

Rate constants for the degradation of $\underline{1}$ and the mole fractions for products $\underline{2}$ and $\underline{3}$ are reported in Table 1. Rate constants for product formation can be calculated from the data in Table 1 using Equation 5.



Figure 1. Parallel first-order kinetic analysis of the degradation of 1,5-anhydrocellobiitol (<u>1</u>) (0.01<u>M</u>) in 0.996<u>M</u> NaOH and 0.200<u>M</u> Na₂S at 170.5°C.

Downloaded At: 13:31 25 January 2011

Rate constants and product mole fractions for degradations of 1,5-anhydrocellobiitol (1) at 170.0°C TABLE 1

NaOH,	Na2S,	NaOTs , ^a		106kr,b	Product M	ole Fraction	8 (X _{i,} m) ^c	۲4, ^م ^d	105kd e
ΣÌ	Σļ	Σ	ュ	8 I]	2	61	4		s-1
1.0	0.2	1		6.80±0.08	0.875	0.084	0.328	0.375	7.16±0.24
1.2	1	0.2	1.4	6.77±0.07	0.881	0.103	0.368	0.418	6.30±0.13
1.0	1	0.6	1.6	6.16±0.06	0.876	0.104	0.386	0.441	5.64±0.24

Sodium p-toluenesulfonate.

^bPseudo-first-order rate constant for degradation of $\underline{1}$, adjusted to 170.0°C,

average of three determinations.

 c_1 ,5-Anhydro-D-glucitol (<u>2</u>), 1,5:3,6-dianhydro-D-galactitol (<u>3</u>), and 1,6-anhydro- β -D-glucopyranose (<u>4</u>). dMole fraction of <u>4</u> formed, based only on glycosyl-oxygen bond cleavage

 $(X_4, w/X_2, w)$. Pseudo-first-order rate constant for degradation of 4, adjusted to 170.0°C.

CELLULOSE MODEL

Rate constants (k_f) for formation of 1,6-anhydro- β -D-glucopyranose (4) from 1 were calculated from the linear relationship [Equation 6, Figure 2] describing the concentration of 4 as a function of time.^{11,25}

$$L - L_0 e^{-k_d t} = k_f R_0 (e^{-k_r t} - e^{-k_d t}) / (k_d - k_r)$$
(6)

where L is the concentration of $\underline{4}$ at time t, L₀ is the initial concentration of $\underline{4}^{26}$, R₀ is the initial concentration of $\underline{1}$, k_r is the rate constant for degradation of $\underline{1}$, and k_d is the first-order rate constant for degradation of $\underline{4}$. Values for k_d (Table 1) were determined independently for each set of reaction conditions.²⁷

The mole fractions of $\underline{4}$ formed in degradations of $\underline{1}$ (X_{4,∞}, Table 1) were calculated as the ratio k_f/k_r . Since $\underline{4}$ degrades at these reaction conditions, X_{4,∞} is actually zero. Conceptually, however, X_{4,∞}, as calculated, is the mole fraction of $\underline{1}$ which degrades via $\underline{4}$ without reference to the subsequent fate of $\underline{4}$.

Previously, it was shown that $\underline{1}$ degraded in aqueous sodium hydroxide by both glycosyl-oxygen and oxygen-aglycon bond cleavage. 1,5-Anhydro-D-glucitol ($\underline{2}$) was formed exclusively by glycosyl-oxygen bond cleavage while oxygen-aglycon bond cleavage resulted in the formation of 1,5:3,6-dianhydro-D-galactitol ($\underline{3}$) and fragmentation products.¹¹





Figure 2. Determination of the rate constant (k_f) for formation of 1,6-anhydro- β -D-glucopyranose (4) from 1,5-anhydrocellobitol (1) in 0.996<u>M</u> NaOH and 0.200<u>M</u> Na₂S at 170.5°C.

The rate constant (k_r) for degradation of <u>1</u> in the kraft liquor at 170°C was essentially equal to that for the sodium hydroxide control $(1.2\underline{M}$ NaOH; 1.4 μ) representing total hydrolysis of the sulfide ion to hydrosulfide ion (Table 1), but significantly greater than that for the sodium hydroxide control $(1.0\underline{M}$ NaOH; 1.6 μ) representing no hydrolysis of sulfide dianion. In addition, the mole fraction of 1,5-anhydro-D-glucitol (2) formed $(X_{2,\infty}, Table 1)$ was essentially the same (ca. 0.88) in the kraft and soda reactions. Thus, since 2 results exclusively from glycosyl-oxygen bond cleavage,¹¹ the rate of glycosyl-oxygen bond cleavage (and of oxygen-aglycon bond cleavage) is the same in the kraft and 1.2<u>M</u> NaOH reactions. This indicates that the same reaction mechanisms are operating at the same relative rates in these two media. This also indicates that the sodium sulfide is hydrolyzed under kraft pulping conditions to hydrosulfide anion with concomitant formation of an equimolar amount of hydroxide ion (Equation 1), but that the hydrosulfide anion does not participate in the rate determining steps of the degradation of <u>1</u>. This reinforces the conclusions regarding sulfide hydrolysis drawn from studies of kraft pulping of wood and cotton linters.²¹⁻²³

It should be noted that the data in Table 1 do not specifically exclude the possibility that the sulfide ion is not hydrolyzed. However, if this were the case, the sulfide dianion would have to react with $\underline{1}$ at the same rate as the hydroxide ion, and with the same reaction site specificity. This explanation of the data seems far less plausible than total hydrolysis of the sulfide ion to hydrosulfide ion, and an inability of the hydrosulfide ion to accelerate chain cleavage.

Failure of the hydrosulfide anion to accelerate glycosidic bond cleavage in <u>1</u> through an S_N^2 mechanism is not due to an inherent lack of nucleophilicity. Hydrosulfide anion is 11 times as effective as hydroxide anion in cleaving the oxygen-aglycon bond of methyl α -D-glucopyranoside by an S_N^2 mechanism.^{16,27,28} An alternate explanation for no rate acceleration with hydro-

sulfide ion is that the carbon atoms in the glycosidic linkage of $\underline{1}$ (C-1' and C-4) have a low susceptibility to bimolecular nucleophilic attack due to electronic and possibly steric factors. Cleavage of the bond between the glycosidic oxygen atom and C-4 of $\underline{1}$ in aqueous sodium hydroxide occurs unimolecularly (S_N1 mechanism) without nucleophilic assistance.¹¹ Similarly, hydro-



Figure 3. Potential $S_NicB(2')$ mechanism for glycosyl-oxygen bond cleavage in 1,5-anhydrocellobiitol (1).¹¹

sulfide ion is only 1.6 times as effective as hydroxide ion in cleaving the glycosyl-oxygen bond of methyl α -D-glucopyranoside (similar to the bond between the glycosidic oxygen and C-l' of <u>1</u>), even though this reaction in sodium hydroxide appears to occur by an S_N2 mechanism.¹⁶,27,28

While the hydrosulfide ion does not participate in the ratedetermining steps of the degradation of <u>1</u>, there is evidence that it participates in the product-determining steps. Cleavage of the glycosyl-oxygen bond of <u>1</u> is, in part, the result of an $S_NicB(2')$ mechanism (Figure 3).¹¹ A rapid equilibrium between OH-2⁺ and its

conjugate base (5), in conjunction with a conformational change of the glucopyranosyl moiety to the ${}^{1}C_{4}$ conformation, permits a nucleophilic attack by the C-2' oxyanion at C-1' which displaces the conjugate base of 1,5-anhydro-D-glucitol ($\underline{6}$) with concomitant formation of 1,2-anhydro-a-D-glucopyranose (7). The 1,2-anhydride 7 can subsequently yield 1,6-anhydro- β -D-glucopyranose (4) by intramolecular nucleophilic attack at C-1 by the C-6 oxyanion or react in several ways to yield acidic degradation products via a reducing sugar. One of the latter possibilities is nucleophilic opening of the epoxide of 7 by hydroxide ion or hydrosulfide ion to generate the reducing sugar. The fact that the mole fraction of 1,6-anhydride 4 formed from glycosyl-oxygen bond cleavage of 1 $(Y_{4,\infty}, Table 1)$ decreased in the kraft reaction (1.0M NaOH, 0.2M Na₂S) relative to the soda control reaction at the same ionic strength (1.2M NaOH, 0.2M NaOTs) indicates that hydrosulfide ion is effectively diverting the 1,2-anhydride 7 from formation of the 1,6-anhydride 4 to acidic product formation.

The hydrosulfide ion also affects the products derived from oxygen-aglycon bond cleavage of 1. Degradation of 1 by oxygenaglycon bond cleavage occurs approximately 12% of the time. The cleavage is believed to occur by an S_NI mechanism in which heterolysis of the bond forms the β -D-glucopyranosyloxy anion (8) and the 1,5-anhydro-4-deoxy-D-xylo-hexitol-4-cation (9) in the ratedetermining step (Figure 4).¹¹ The glucosyloxy anion 8 would rapidly degrade to acid products.²⁹ While several productdetermining reactions of the cation 9 probably occur, the one leading to 1,5:3,6-dianhydro-D-galactitol (3) dominates. Thus, 98% of the aglycon is accounted for in the sodium hydroxide control reactions (Table 1, Products 2 + 3).

In the kraft reaction the yield of $\underline{3}$ was lower than in the sodium hydroxide control reactions (Table 1). This is probably due to attack by hydrosulfide ion on the epoxides of intermediates $\underline{10}$ and $\underline{11}$ leading from the C-4 cation $\underline{9}$ to 1,5:3,6-dianhydro-D-galactitol ($\underline{3}$). Attack by hydrosulfide ion directly on the cation $\underline{9}$ would also be possible, but analogous attack by hydroxide



Figure 4. Potential S_Nl mechanism for oxygen-aglycon bond cleavage in 1,5-anhydrocellobiitol (<u>1</u>).¹¹

ion does not seem to occur.¹¹ However, if such a reaction did occur, it would generate the epimers 1,5-anhydro-4-deoxy-4-thio-D-glucitol and -D-galactitol at the expense of <u>3</u>, with the galactitol isomer predominating due to shielding of the C-4 cation by the departing anion <u>8</u>.

No evidence of anhydrothioalditols was found in kraft reactions of 1,5-anhydrocellobiitol (1). It was not clear whether these sugars were failing to survive the reaction conditions, or if they were being lost in the analytical procedure. 1,5-Anhydro-6-deoxy-6-thio-D-glucitol (12) was synthesized to test these two possibilities. Compound 12 was shown to be stable in kraft liquor at 170°C over ca. 4 half-lives of 1. However, 12 was retained on



the mixed-bed (H^+, OH^-) ion exchange resin used to deionize reaction samples in the usual analytical procedure.

In an attempt to resolve the analytical problem, reaction samples were deionized with only acidic (H⁺) resin prior to acetylation for gas chromatographic analysis. This resulted in the appearance of unidentified products (probably organic acids and lactones) in the chromatograms. These products masked the region of the chromatogram where the anhydrothioalditols were expected to appear, thereby complicating both flame-ionization and specific ion mass spectrometric detection. Elemental sulfur, resulting from the action of the acidic resin on the sodium sulfide, interfered with flame-photometric detection. Thus, direct verification of the anhydrothioalditol products was not obtained.

EXPERIMENTAL

General Methods

Melting points were determined on a calibrated Thomas-Hoover capillary apparatus. Optical rotations were determined on a Perkin-Elmer 141 MC polarimeter. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were determined on a Jeol FX100 fourier transform spectrometer at normal probe temperature using tetramethylsilane as an external reference. Elemental analyses were performed by Micro-Tech Laboratories, Inc. (4117 Oakton St., Skokie, IL 60076).

Thin layer chromatography (tlc) was performed on microscope slides coated with silica gel G using methanol-sulfuric acid (4:1, vol) reagent, followed by charring, for component detection. Gas-liquid chromatography (glc) was performed on a Perkin-Elmer Sigma 2 chromatograph equipped with flame-ionization and flame-photometric detectors, and interfaced with a Sigma 10 data station. Analyses were performed on a column (5 ft. x 0.125 inch o.d. stainless steel) of 3% OV-101 on 80-100 mesh Supelcoport rigged for on-column injection and using N₂, 30 mL min⁻¹; column, 130°C for 1 min and then 130 + 275°C at 7° min⁻¹; injector, 275°C; and detector, 300°C.

Gas chromatographic mass spectra (glc-ms) were obtained on a Hewlett-Packard 5985 instrument. Electron impact mass spectrometry utilized helium as the carrier gas, a source temperature of 200°C, and an ionizing voltage of 70 ev. Chemical ionization and negative chemical ionization mass spectrometry utilized methane as the carrier gas, a source temperature of 200°C, and an ionizing voltage of 230 ev.

1,5-Anhydro-4-O-(B-D-glucopyranosyl)-D-glucitol (1)

Phenyl hepta-O-acetyl-1-thio- β -cellobioside³⁹ (63 g) in tetrahydrofuran (250 mL) was stirred with W-5 Raney nickel³¹ at 48°C for 3 h, and then under reflux for 3 h. The reaction was monitored by tlc using chloroform-ethyl acetate (2:1, vol). If the reduction was not complete, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, more Raney nickel (25 g) was added, and stirring under reflux was continued. On completion of the reaction, the slurry was filtered, and the nickel was carefully rinsed with tetrahydrofuran (3 x 50 mL). The combined filtrates were concentrated <u>in vacuo</u> to a crude solid (42 g, 78%) shown by glc to contain at least two by-products. Several recrystallizations from absolute ethanol yielded hepta-O-acetyl-1,5-anhydrocellobiitol (<u>13</u>); m.p. 194-195°C, $[\alpha]_D + 3.9°$ (<u>c</u> 1.5, CHCl₃). [Lit.¹¹ m.p. 193.5-194.0°C; $[\alpha]_D^{24.5} + 4.1$ (c 2.9, CHCl₃)].

Compound <u>13</u> was deacetylated with methanolic sodium methoxide³² to produce <u>1</u> which on crystallization from 95% ethanol had m.p. 206-207°C, $[\alpha]_D$ + 28.5° (<u>c</u> 1.5, H₂O). ¹³C-Nmr for <u>1</u> (D₂O): δ 103.5 (C-1'), 80.1 (C-4, C-5), 77.0 (C-3', C-5') 76.6 (C-3), 74.3

(C-2'), 70.6 (C-4'), 70.3 (C-2), 69.7 (C-1), 61.7 (C-6'), and 61.4 ppm (C-6). [Lit.¹¹ m.p. 204.5-205.5°C, [α]_D + 28.2° (<u>c</u> 2.2, H₂O)].

1,5-Anhydro-D-glucitol (2)

Phenyl tetra-O-acetyl-1-thio- β -D-glucopyranoside^a (20.9 g) in tetrahydrofuran (150 mL) was reduced with W-5 Raney nickel (43 g) as described for the preparation of <u>1</u>. The reaction was monitored by the using ethyl acetate. Gle analysis of the initial product mixture (8.7 g, 55%) indicated at least two by-products. Several recrystallizations from absolute ethanol yielded tetra-O-acetyl-1,5-anhydro-D-glucitol (<u>14</u>); m.p. 72.5-74.0°C, [α]_D + 38.7° (<u>c</u> 1.5, CHCl₃). [Lit.³³ m.p. 73-74°C, [α]_D + 38.9° (<u>c</u> 2.9, CHCl₃)].

Deacetylation of <u>14</u> with methanolic sodium methoxide³² produced 1,5-anhydro-D-glucitol (<u>2</u>) which on crystallization from absolute ethanol had m.p. 140-141°C and $[\alpha]_D$ + 41.6 (<u>c</u> 1.5, H₂O). ¹³C-Nmr for <u>2</u> (D₂O): δ 81.4 (C-5), 78.7 (C-3), 70.9 (C-4), 70.5 (C-2), 70.0 (C-1), and 62.1 ppm (C-6). [Lit.³³ m.p. 142-143°C, $[\alpha]_D$ + 42.8° (<u>c</u> 1.4, H₂O)].

2-Hydroxyethyl l-thio- β -D-glucopyranoside (15)

Tetra-O-acetyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl bromide³⁴ (20 g) in chloroform (50 mL) was added dropwise over 30 min to a stirred solution of 2-hydroxy-1-ethanethiol (10 mL) and potassium hydroxide (5.5 g) in anhydrous methanol (100 mL). After four hours, the reaction slurry was filtered, and the filtrate was neutralized with 1<u>M</u> acetic acid and concentrated <u>in vacuo</u> to a solid. The solid was acetylated with pyridine (100 mL) and acetic anhydride (50 mL)³⁵ and the acetylated product (30% yield) was crystallized from absolute ethanol. Recrystallization from absolute ethanol yielded 2-acetoxyethyl tetra-O-acetyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (<u>16</u>); m.p. 106-106.5°C, [α]_D -32.0° (<u>c</u> 1.5, CHCl₃). [Lit.³⁶ m.p. 108-108.5°; [α]_D -31.5° (CHCl₃)].

Deacetylation of <u>16</u> with methanolic sodium methoxide³² produced 2-hydroxyethyl 1-thio- β -D-glucopyranoside (<u>15</u>) which on crystallization from absolute ethanol had m.p. 115.5-116.5°, [α]_D -54.9° (<u>c</u> 1.5, H₂O). [Lit.³⁶ m.p. 114-116°C, [α]_D - 61.8° (H₂O)]. Elemental analysis: C, 40.0; H, 6.6; and S, 13.2%. Formula C₈H₁₆O₆S requires C, 40.0; H, 6.7; and S, 13.3%. The IR spectrum



Figure 5. Synthesis of 1,5-anhydro-6-deoxy-6-thio-D-glucitol (12).

showed no absorbance in the 2500-2600 cm⁻¹ region characteristic of thiols.³⁷ 1^{3} C-Nmr for <u>15</u> (D₂O): δ 86.4 (C-1), 80.9 (C-3), 78.2 (C-5), 73.3 (C-2), 70.6 (C-4), 62.2 (C-6 or C-2'), 62.0 (C-6 or C-2'), and 33.4 ppm (C-1').

1,5-Anhydro-6-deoxy-6-thio-D-glucitol (12)

l,5-Anhydro-6-deoxy-6-thio-D-glucitol (12) was prepared by the synthetic scheme shown in Figure 5.

Methanesulfonyl chloride (2.4 mL, 1.0 eq.) was added dropwise to a cold (0°C), stirred solution of 1,5-anhydro-D-glucitol (2) (5 g) in anhydrous pyridine³⁸ (100 mL). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 3 h. Benzoyl chloride (16 mL) was added dropwise to the stirred mixture, and stirring was continued for 12 h. The reaction was monitored by tlc using chloroform-ethyl acetate (4:1, vol). The mixture was diluted with chloroform (50 mL) and poured into ice water (300 mL). The organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with chloroform (2 x 50 mL). The combined chloroform phases were washed with IM hydrochloric acid (6 x 150 mL), saturated sodium bicarbonate (150 mL), and water (150 mL); and concentrated in vacuo to a thick oil. Two crystallizations from absolute ethanol yielded 1,5-anhydro-2,3,4-tri-0-benzoyl-6-0-methanesulfonyl-Dglucitol (17) (10.8 g, 64%); m.p. 127-127.5°C, $[\alpha]_D$ +12.6° (c 1.5, CHCl₃). Elemental analysis: C, 60.9; H, 4.6; and S, 5.9%. Formula C₂₈H₂₆O₁₀S requires C, 60.6; H, 4.7; and S, 5.8Z. ¹³C-Nmr for 17 (CDCl₃): 6 77.7 (C-5), 74.7 (C-3), 71.0 (C-4), 70.0 (C-2), 68.7 (C-6), 68.2 (C-1), and 38.7 ppm (methanesulfonyl methyl carbon).

Anhydrous potassium thiocyanate (3.5 g) was added to a solution of <u>17</u> (4 g) in N,N-dimethylformamide (50 mL). The mixture was held at 90°C, with stirring, for 48 h. Progress of the reaction was monitored by tlc using chloroform-ethyl acetate (4:1, vol). The reaction mixture was poured slowly into stirred ice water, and the resulting crystals were recovered by filtration, washed with water (50 mL), and dissolved in chloroform (50 mL). The chloroform solution was washed with water (3 x 200 mL) and concentrated

in vacuo to a thick oil. Crystallization of the oil from methanol yielded 1,5-anhydro-2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl-6-deoxy-6-thiocyanato-D-glucitol (18) (3.2 g, 86%); m.p. $63-65^{\circ}$ C, $[\alpha]_{D} + 30.1^{\circ}$ (c 1.5, CHCl₃). Elemental analysis: C, 65.0; H, 4.6; N, 2.6; and S, 5.9%. Formula $C_{28}H_{23}O_7NS$ requires C, 65.0; H, 4.5; N, 2.7; and S, 6.2%. The IR spectrum showed an absorbance at 2157 cm⁻¹, indicative of the thiocyanato group.³⁷ The 13 C-nmr resonance (CDCl₃) for C-6 shifted to δ 37.0 ppm.

Compound <u>18</u> (3 g) was debenzoylated with sodium methoxide in methanol.³² The product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Merck 60, 70-230 mesh) with ethyl acetate-ethanol (5:1, vol). Acetylation of the purified product with acetic anhydride in pyridine³⁵ followed by crystallization from absolute ethanol yielded the acetylated disulfide <u>19</u> (1.75 g, 87%), m.p. 160-160.5°C, $[\alpha]_D + 112°$ (<u>c</u> 1.5, CHCl₃). Elemental analysis: C, 47.1; H, 5.6; and S, 10.4%. Formula C₂₄H₃₄O₁₄S₂ requires C, 47.2; H, 5.6; and S, 10.5%. The chemical ionization - mass spectrum (ci-ms) had major peaks at m/e 611 (28%, M + 1) and 551 (70%, M-59). The IR spectrum was devoid of absorbances characteristic of thiols at 2500-2600 cm⁻¹.³⁷ The ¹³C-nmr resonances (CDCl₃) of C-6 and C-6' were at 6 42.9 ppm.

The disulfide (19) (1.5 g) was dissolved in glacial acetic acid (60 mL) at 75°C and zinc dust (3 g) was added to the solution. The reduction was monitored by glc. After 3 h, the reaction solution was allowed to cool, poured into water (300 mL), and extracted with chloroform (4 x 25 mL). The combined chloroform extracts were washed with water (3 x 100 mL) and concentrated <u>in</u> <u>vacuo</u> to an oil. Crystallization of the oil from absolute ethanol yielded 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-1,5-anhydro-6-deoxy-6-thio-D-glucitol (20) (0.6 g, 80%); m.p. 94.5-96.5°C, $\{\alpha\}_D$ + 65.1° (<u>c</u> 1.5, CHCl₃). The ci-ms had major peaks at m/e 307 (21%, M + 1) and 247 (100%, M-59). The ¹³C-nmr resonance (CDCl₃) of C-6 was at δ 27.3 ppm, as expected for thiol substitution.³⁷ Deacetylation of <u>20</u> with methanolic sodium methoxide³² and crystallization of the product from absolute ethanol yielded 1,5anhydro-6-deoxy-6-thio-D-glucitol (<u>12</u>); m.p. 99.5-101°C, $[\alpha]_D$ + 53.7° (<u>c</u> 1.5, H₂O). Elemental analysis: C, 40.1; H, 6.8; and S, 17.3%. Formula C₆H₁₂O₄S requires C, 40.0; H, 6.7; and S, 17.8%. The IR spectrum showed an absorbance at 2536 cm⁻¹ characteristic of thiols.³⁷ ¹³C-Nmr for <u>12</u> (D₂O): δ 81.3 (C-5), 78.5 (C-3), 72.9 (C-4), 70.6 (C-2), 70.1 (C-1), and 26.5 ppm (C-6). [Lit.³⁹ oil, $[\alpha]_D$ - 15° (<u>c</u> 1, MeOH)].

Acetylation of <u>12</u> with acetic anhydride-pyridine⁹ gave 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-6-deoxy-6-S-acetyl-1,5-anhydro-D-glucitol (<u>21</u>); m.p. 87.5-88.5°C (from absolute EtOH), $[\alpha]_D + 29.9°$ (<u>c</u> 1.5 CHCl₃). Elemental analysis: C, 48.1; H, 5.8; and S, 9.1%. Formula $C_{14}H_{20}O_8S$ requires C, 48.3; H, 5.8; and S, 9.2%. The ci-ms had major peaks at m/e 349 (33%, M + 1) and 289 (100%, M-59). ¹³C-Nmr for <u>21</u> (CDCl₃): δ 78.5 (C-5), 74.7 (C-3), 71.7 (C-4), 70.2 (C-2), 67.9 (C-1), and 31.3 ppm (C-6). [Lit.³⁹ oil, $[\alpha]_D + 60°$ (<u>c</u> 1, CHCl₃)].

Kinetic Analysis

All solutions were prepared under nitrogen using oxygen-free, triply-distilled water. Alkaline stock solutions were stored under nitrogen in paraffin-lined bottles. Stock sodium sulfide solution (2<u>M</u>) was prepared from washed, reagent grade sodium sulfide nonahydrate. Reaction solutions were prepared by diluting the stock solutions, and adding sodium p-toluenesulfonate as required to adjust the ionic strength. The volume expansivity of water⁴⁰ was used to calculate the concentrations required at room temperature to give the desired concentration at the selected reaction temperature. Sodium sulfide concentrations were determined from titrations with standard 0.1M mercuric chloride⁴¹ followed with an Orion silver/ sulfide specific electrode. The sodium hydroxide concentration in kraft liquors was calculated by subtracting the sulfide contribution from the active alkali.⁴²

BLYTHE AND SCHROEDER

The reactor system, described in detail elsewhere, 11,27,43 consisted of a Type 316 stainless steel reactor (100-mL capacity) from which samples (<u>ca</u>. 1 mL) could be withdrawn, and a constant temperature oil bath which would hold within 0.2°C of the desired temperature. The formation of ferric sulfide in the reactor was exceedingly slow compared to substrate degradation, and could be neglected.²⁷

Sampling was initiated after the reactor had reached the desired temperature. 2-Hydroxyethyl 1-thio- β -D-glucopyranoside solution (0.005<u>M</u>, 1 mL) was added gravimetrically to the samples (1 mL) as an internal standard. The samples were then deionized by passage over Amberlite MB-3 (H⁺, OH⁻; 5 mL) or Amberlite IR-120 (H⁺, 2.5 mL) resin, eluted with water (10 mL), concentrated <u>in</u> vacuo to dryness, and acetylated with acetic anhydride (0.33 mL) in pyridine (0.67). After 6 h, water (10 mL) was added to the acetylation, and the mixture was extracted with chloroform (2 x 5 mL). The chloroform extracts were washed with <u>1M</u> hydrochloric acid (10 mL) and water (2 x 10 mL), and concentrated <u>in vacuo</u> to dryness. The residue was dissolved in chloroform (<u>ca. 0.25 mL</u>) for glc analysis. Response factors were obtained by subjecting known mixtures of the necessary compounds to the analysis procedure.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Portions of this work were used by D. A. Blythe as partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Ph.D. degree at The Institute of Paper Chemistry. Discussions with D. R. Dimmel and E. W. Malcolm throughout the course of this work are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Presented in part at the 185th ACS National Meeting; Seattle, Washington; March 24, 1983. CELL 39.
- Alkaline degradation of glycosides. Part 2; for Part 1 see ref. 11.
- Current address: Thilmany Pulp and Paper Company, Kaukauna, WI 54130.

- 4. Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.
- S. A. Rydholm, <u>Pulping Processes</u>, Interscience, New York, 1965.
- J. R. G. Bryce, in <u>Pulp and Paper</u>, <u>Chemistry and Chemical</u> <u>Technology</u>, Vol. 1, <u>3rd ed.</u>, J. P. Casey (ed.), John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1980.
- N. S. Thompson, in <u>Cellulose and Cellulose Derivatives</u>, Part V, N. M. Bikales and L. Segal (eds.), John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1971.
- 8. C. H. Matthews, Svensk Papperstidn., 77, 629 (1974).
- J. Marton, in Lignins, K. V. Sarkanen and C. H. Ludwig (eds.), John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1971.
- 10. $S_NicB(2)$ Mechanism Intramolecular nucleophilic attack at the anomeric carbon by the ionized hydroxyl group at C-2. Previously, we referred to this mechanism by the equally appropriate, but probably less descriptive $S_NlcB(2)$ term.¹¹
- R. E. Brandon, L. R. Schroeder, and D. C. Johnson. Am. Chem. Soc. Symp. Ser., <u>10</u>, 125 (1975).
- 12. G. N. Richards, Methods Carbohyd. Chem., 3, 154 (1963).
- G. N. Richards, in <u>Cellulose and Cellulose Derivatives</u>, Part V, N. M. Bikales and L. Segal (eds.), John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1971.
- Y. Z. Lai and K. V. Sarkanen, Cellul. Chem. Technol., <u>1</u>, 517 (1967).
- Y. Z. Lai, International Symposium on Wood and Pulping Chemistry, Abstracts Vol. 2, p. 26, Stockholm, Sweden, June 9-12, 1981.
- F. A. Gilbert, L. R. Schroeder, and J. J. Nault, Mechanism of alkaline degradation of methyl α-D-glucopyranoside; 176th ACS National Meeting; Miami Beach, Florida; September, 1978. CARB 59.
- 17. Y. Z. Lai and D. E. Ontto, Carbohyd. Res., 67, 500 (1978).
- 18. Y. Z. Lai and D. E. Ontto, Carbohyd. Res., 75, 51 (1979).
- T. H. Lowry and K. S. Richardson, <u>Mechanism and Theory in</u> <u>Organic Chemistry</u>, 2nd ed., Harper and Row, New York, 1981.
- 20. S. R. Rao and L. G. Hepler, Hydrometallurgy, 2, 293 (1977).
- Samuelson and A. Wennerblom, Svensk Papperstidn., <u>57</u>, 827 (1954).
- L. Regnfors and L. Stockman, Svensk Papperstidn., <u>59</u>, 509 (1956).
- 23. A. Teder and D. Tormund, Svensk Papperstidn., 76, 607 (1973).

- 24. Moore and Pearson²⁵ describe parallel-first-order kinetics. The equations given here are simplifications based on the fact that the term $k_1/\Sigma k_1$ is the mole fraction of the products accounted for by product <u>i</u>.
- J. W. Moore and R. G. Pearson, <u>Kinetics and Mechanism</u>, 3rd ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1981.
- 26. Zero time for kinetic analysis was chosen to be a short time after the reactor system had reached the desired temperature. Products formed during this heat-up period were therefore present at zero time.
- D. A. Blythe, Doctoral Dissertation, The Institute of Paper Chemistry, Appleton, Wisconsin, January, 1984.
- L. R. Schroeder, F. A. Gilbert, J. J. Nault, D. A. Blythe, and M. J. Bovee, in preparation.
- J. M. MacLeod and L. R. Schroeder, J. Wood Chem. Technol., <u>2</u>, 187 (1982).
- 30. C. B. Purves, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 51, 3629 (1929).
- R. L. Augustine, <u>Catalytic Hydrogenation</u>, p. 27, Marcel Dekker, 1965.
- 32. A. Thompson and M. L. Wolfrom, Methods Carbohydr. Chem., 2, 215 (1963).
- 33. H. G. Fletcher, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>69</u>, 706 (1947).
- F. J. Bates, Polarimetry, Saccharimetry, and the Sugars, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1942.
- M. L. Wolfrom and A. Thompson, Methods Carbohydr. Chem., <u>2</u>, 211 (1963).
- 36. M. Saunders and T. Timell, Carbohydr. Res., 6, 121 (1968).
- 37. R. M. Silverstein, G. C. Bassler, and T. C. Morrill, <u>Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compounds</u>, 4th ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1981.
- D. D. Perrin, W. L. F. Armarego, and D. R. Perrin, <u>Purifi-cation of Laboratory Chemicals</u>, 2nd ed., Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1980.
- 39. J. Kuszmann and P. Sohar, Carbohydr. Res., 48, 23 (1976).
- Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 55th ed., R. C. Weast (ed.), CRC Press, Cleveland, OH, 1974.
- NCASI Atmospheric Quality Improvement Tech. Bull., <u>68</u>, A2 (Oct., 1973).
- 42. TAPPI Standard Method T 625 ts-64.
- R. E. Brandon, Doctoral Dissertation, The Institute of Paper Chemistry, Appleton, WI, January, 1973.